

designing trap antennas: a new approach

Symmetrical design increases gain and directivity

Most Amateurs don't realize that the original design of a trap antenna^{1,2,3,4} represents just one particular case, and is not the best possible choice of the many designs available. According to the references, a dipole designed to resonate at two frequencies, f_1 and f_2 , contains parallel RLC circuits — or *traps* — that are resonant at the upper frequency, f_2 , and physically separated by a half wavelength (at f_2). This approach I call the "classic" design. At f_2 these circuits offer a very high impedance and, in effect, disconnect the outer portions of the antenna. The inner portion acts as a half-wave dipole. With the total antenna length made equal to one half wavelength at the lower design frequency, f_1 , the antenna would resonate at this frequency if the traps presented a short circuit. In practice, however, the traps introduce an inductive reactance at all frequencies lower than f_2 . To compensate, the total length of the antenna is slightly shortened in order to be resonant at f_1 .

Treatments of this subject in reference books usually ignore other existing designs and imply incorrectly that this description applies to all trapped antennas.

Extending this design approach to three bands (10, 15, and 20 meters) would require the two sets of traps to resonate at 21 and 28 MHz and be separated by approximately 3 feet (on each half-dipole element). Some of the first commercially manufactured triband antennas actually did use separated traps, but those generally available today have such pairs of traps built into a single assembly.

A vertical trap antenna, just slightly longer than a quarter wavelength at the upper frequency f_2 , was dis-

cussed in an earlier article; the principle upon which that antenna was based can be applied to dipoles as well.⁵ In this case, the trap is placed at the base of the antenna and its parameters are selected to produce the correct inductive reactance for resonance at the lower frequency f_1 . At f_2 the antenna is slightly longer than a quarter wavelength, and the trap provides the necessary *capacitive* reactance for resonance to be achieved.

To lower the resonant frequency of a fixed-length dipole, inductance must be added. Conversely, capacitance must be added to raise its frequency. A parallel RLC circuit can provide the required reactances at f_1 and f_2 . (A series RLC circuit, which has a capacitive reactance at low frequencies and inductive reactance at high frequencies, cannot be used in this application.)

There's wide variation in the positioning of the traps, which affects the properties of the antenna (directivity, bandwidth, efficiency, and trap resonant frequencies). Mathematically speaking, the design of a trapped antenna is over-determined since there are *four* parameters to be adjusted in order to meet the *two* resonance conditions at f_1 and f_2 : total length; trap position; trap resonant frequency, f_0 (when removed from the antenna) and the value of the capacitance, C .

Without further information, it's impossible to say how these parameters are to be selected in order to obtain the best performance. The classic design isn't optimum because the outer portions of the dipole have little current at the upper frequency, f_2 . A design that causes a significant current to flow in these outer portions can improve directivity.

In addition, minimum loss reactances should be used. The capacitive loading required by a single-band antenna longer than a half wavelength can usually be supplied with negligible loss. However, inductive loading required for a single-band short dipole usually adds

By Yardley Beers, W0JF, 740 Willowbrook Road, Boulder, Colorado 80302

some losses. With a two-band trap antenna, the losses are usually greater at both frequencies than if single-band loading is used. Because losses tend to be greater if the trap resonance is near one of the operating frequencies, I'll discuss a design in which f_0 is as far removed from the operating frequencies, f_1 and f_2 , as possible, and in which the traps have equal impedances at these two frequencies. For this to occur, the trap resonant frequency, f_0 , must be equal to the geometrical average of f_1 and f_2 — that is, equal to the square root of their product. The reactances at the two design frequencies are equal in magnitude but different in sign, and the resistances are equal. I call this approach the "symmetrical" design.

a dual-band antenna

To illustrate this principle, two separate two-band trap dipoles were built; the first for 18.1 and 24.9 MHz, and the second for the 14- and 21-MHz bands. Actually, I have built two of the former; the first had minimum SWRs of 1.5 in the 18.1-MHz band and 1.4 in the 24.9-MHz band. After I had dismantled it, I improved my computer programs for designing these antennas and built the 14/21-MHz antenna, with which I obtained minimum SWRs of 1.1 on both bands. I reworked the calculations for the 18.1/24.9-MHz antenna and got slightly different values. I decided that although the original antenna was still useful, I hadn't trimmed it to best advantage. I built the second antenna with significantly different dimensions and, as shown in **fig. 1**, it has minimum SWR of 1.1 on both bands.

18.1/24.9-MHz dual-band antenna

Both antennas were developed for design frequencies of 18.118 and 24.94 MHz with a trap design frequency of 21.257 MHz, using nominal trap capacitances of 50 pF. After the second version of the dual-bander was built, the trap capacitances were measured at 51.6 pF. The trap resonances measured, on the average, 21.27 MHz. The inductors consisted of 13 turns of No. 18 plastic-coated wire wound on 1/2-inch diameter plastic rod. Since I operate at low power (about 100 watts) I was able to use No. 18 wire, but I advise those readers who intend to operate at higher power to build inductors with heavier wire. I didn't measure the Q s of the coils, but I assume that 200 is a reasonable value. (Resonance is independent of the Q .) Using these values and **eqns. 8, 10, and 11** (see **appendix 1**), I found the magnitude of the reactances and the resistances to be 449 and 7.0 ohms, respectively.

The total antenna length is 20.0 feet. The traps are 13.8 feet apart, symmetrically placed about the center feedpoint. The earlier antenna, with similar traps, had dimensions of 20.7 feet (length) and 15.3 feet (trap

separation). For comparison, the (unloaded) lengths of half-wave dipoles for these design frequencies, as given by **eqn. 6** (see **appendix 1**), are, respectively, 25.9 feet and 18.8 feet. The wire is No. 14 gauge. Standing wave measurements relative to a 50-ohm transmission line, with the antenna 20 feet above ground, are shown in **fig. 1**. The measurements take line losses into account.

Before building the second antenna, I followed the mathematical procedure discussed in **appendix 2**, which gave the following results: for S1 (the distance from the center feedpoint to one trap), 6.90 feet; for S2 (the distance from a trap to the end), 3.88 feet. With these dimensions, the SWR pattern at 24.94 MHz wasn't very different from that shown in **fig. 1**, but the f_1 SWR minimum of 1.2 was at 17.4 MHz, about 5 percent too low in frequency. I tried trimming both S1 and S2. Finally I retained the original value of S1, but I reduced S2 by 0.8 feet (9.5 inches) for a final value of 3.08 feet. These dimensions apply to **fig. 1**.

Moxon gives a method for estimating the efficiency of trapped antennas.⁶ It's necessary to measure or assume values for the radiation resistance. The minimum SWR at both frequencies is 1.1, which implies that the total resistance at the drivepoint is between the limits $50/1.1 = 45.5$ ohms and $50 \times 1.1 = 55$ ohms. Because the radiation resistance is larger for dipoles greater than one half wavelength and less for those less than one half wavelength, I assumed the lower (resistance) value for f_1 and the upper one for f_2 .

The power radiated is the current at the center multiplied by the square of the current at the center. The power lost in the traps is their resistance multiplied by the square of the current at the traps, which is smaller than at the center. The total resistances of the traps is actually 14 ohms, but a calculation shows that the losses are as though the trap resistances were at the center and had the values of 5.8 and 2.0 ohms, respectively, at f_1 and f_2 . After subtracting off these transformed loss resistances, I obtain estimates for the radiation resistances at the two frequencies as $45 - 5.8 = 39.2$ and $55 - 2.0 = 53$ ohms, respectively. The efficiencies are then respectively $39.2/45 = 87\%$ and $53/55 = 96\%$.

However, since the radiation resistance of a half-wave dipole is about 72 ohms, one would expect the radiation resistances to be slightly higher than the estimates given above; consequently, working with the same trap resistances, efficiency should actually be higher.

15/20-meter dual-band antenna

The design frequencies for this antenna are, respectively, $f_1 = 14.15$, $f_2 = 21.2$, and $f_0 = 17.32$ MHz. The trap capacitors have measured values of 52 pF, on the average. The inductors are seven and a half

NEW COMPUTER BOOKS

YOUR COMMODORE 64: A GUIDE TO THE C-64 COMPUTER

YOUR COMMODORE 128: A GUIDE TO THE C-128 COMPUTER

These books cover in great detail the best selling Commodore C-64 and C-128 home computers. You get a complete introduction to the operating systems used, BASIC tutorials, graphics, sound and much more. Also discussed are hardware and peripheral considerations. The C-128 book covers C-64 emulation, extended memory, CP/M mode, mouse, ram disk, printers and modems. Excellent source books for beginners and experts alike.

© 1985 1st Edition

LOS-C64 464 pages Softbound \$14.95

LOS-C128 480 pages Softbound \$14.95

Buy'em Both Special Reg \$29.90

LOS-C Save \$4.95 \$24.95

MS-DOS USER'S GUIDE

by Chris DeVoney

MS-DOS computer users will find this handbook to be an essential addition to their computer library. Includes a full explanation of MS-DOS commands in clear, concise language and examples of command syntax. Hints on command usage and explanations of the hierarchical directory and I/O redirection will enable you to get maximum benefit from your computer investment. For novice and expert users. © 1987 2nd Edition 330 pages.

QUE-061 Softbound \$21.95

PC SECRETS: TIPS FOR POWER PERFORMANCE

by James Kelly

Here's one of those unheralded gems we stumbled upon recently. This nifty book is jam-packed with ideas and suggestions on how to get more out of your PC-DOS or MS-DOS computer. Not a tutorial; more for the intermediate user who is looking to get more speed and efficiency. Improve your keyboard, enhance your display, organize your files, and manage your printer better than ever before. You'll be amazed at what this book can add to your PC. Also covers Lotus 1-2-3 and Wordstar. © 1985 1st Edition 224 pages

LOS-PCS Softbound \$16.95

APPLE II USER'S GUIDE, Apple Plus and II series

by Poole, McNuff and Cook

All time Apple II best seller! Now available in updated third edition. Learn from the experts how to get the most from your Apple home computer and peripherals. You also get a complete explanation on how to use DOS 3.3 and Pro-DOS. Easy-to-use tutorial explanation of BASIC programming will teach you how to use all the sound and graphics capabilities as well as the Apple IIe high resolution graphics. This book is worth its weight in gold! © 1985 1st Edition 512 pages

LOS-UG Softbound \$18.95

We're really proud of the next two books! Doug was Jim Fisk's right hand man during the early seventies. His first computer book, *The Introduction to TURBO Pascal*, quickly went best seller. The *TURBO Library* is an invaluable addition to TURBO user's libraries.

INTRODUCTION TO TURBO PASCAL

by Doug Stivison WA1KWJ (ex Ham Radio assistant editor)

Thousands have learned Pascal programming with this popular best seller. As a tutorial this book enhances the unique aspects of Turbo Pascal by concentrating on the extended applications capabilities offered. Includes graphics, look-up tables, word processor to typesetting equipment conversion tables, TTS to ASCII conversion and fast sort/search routines. © 1985 1st Edition 268 pages

ISY-269 Softbound \$14.95

TURBO PASCAL LIBRARY

by Doug Stivison WA1KWJ

Perfect compliment to the Turbo Pascal Introduction book listed above. Stivison shares his extensive collection of proven programs and will save experienced programmers time and illustrate to beginners good programming techniques and Turbo versions of standard algorithms. Includes games, systems utilities, and routines for business and engineering applications. © 1986 1st Edition 350 pages

ISY-330 Softbound \$14.95

Please Enclose \$3⁵⁰ to cover shipping and handling

ham radio BOOKSTORE

Greenville, NH 03048




fig. 1. SWR vs. frequency for trapped dipole for use in 18.068-18.168 MHz and 24.890-24.990 MHz bands. The antenna is 20 feet, 10 inches long and has traps placed 15 feet, 2 inches apart, equidistant from the center feedpoint. The traps use 50-pF capacitors and are self-resonant at 21.2 MHz.

MICROWAVE MODULES Ltd.

LIVERPOOL ENGLAND

LINEAR TRANSVERTERS

MMT 50/28S	50 Mhz 20 WATTS	\$359
MMT 50/144S	50 Mhz 20 WATTS	\$359
MMT 144/28	144 Mhz 10 WATTS	\$198
MMT 144/28R	144 Mhz GAAsFET 25 WATTS	\$359
MMT 220/28S	220 Mhz 15 WATTS	\$242
MMT432/28S	432/435 Mhz 10 WATTS	\$299
MMT 1296/144C	1296 Mhz GAAsFET 2 WATTS	\$380
MMX 1268/144	OSCAR MODE-L 2 WATT XMIT	\$289

CONVERTERS

MMC 50/28	50 Mhz Rcv CONVERTER	\$ 59
MMC 144/28HP	2M GAAsFET Rcv CONVERTER	\$ 79
MMC 432/28S	432/435 Mhz Rcv CONVERTER	\$ 91
MMK 1296/144	1296 Mhz Rcv CONVERTER	\$206
MMC 1691/137.5	1691/137.5 Mhz Rcv Conv.	\$239

POWER AMPLIFIERS

BUILT-IN PREAMPLIFIERS

MML 144/30LS	30 WATT - 1,3W INPUT	\$136
MML 144/100LS	100 WATT - 1,3W INPUT	\$244
MML 144/200S	200 WATT GAAsFET PREAMP	\$455
MML 432/30L	30 WATT - 1,3W INPUT	\$244
MML 432/100	100 WATT ATV/SSB/FM/RPT	\$455
MML 432/50	50 WATT - 10 INPUT	\$215
MML 1296/15	15 WATT - 1,3W INPUT	CALL

PREAMPLIFIERS GaAsFET

MMG 144V	144Mhz RF Sw 100 WATTS	\$ 75
MMG 1296	1296 Mhz GAAsFET	\$116
MMG 1691	1691 Mhz GAAsFET	\$208

ATV

MMC 435/600	432 TO 600MHZ BLOCK CONV	\$ 65
MTV 435	20 WATT VIDEO XMTR-70CM	\$314

PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITHOUT ADVANCE NOTICE.
VISA/MASTERCARD ACCEPTED.

CONVERTERS
PREAMPLIFIERS
ATV OSCAR



THE "PX" SHACK
52 STONEWYCK DRIVE
BELLE MEAD, N.J. 08502
(201) 874-6013

CALLING HOURS
11 AM - 3 PM orders
6 PM - 10 PM technical
EST

SPECIALIZED COMMUNICATIONS

FOR TODAY'S RADIO AMATEUR!



48 pages per issue!

Since 1967, covering all modes of Amateur Radio "specialty" communications; Fast Scan TV, SSTV, FAX, Packet Radio, Computers, RTTY, AMTOR, Satellites, TVRO, Microwave, Lasers and more! 10 issues per year. Back issues available, SASE brings TRS80C, C64, IBM software catalog. U.S. subscribers \$20/year. Foreign slightly higher. Add \$2.00 for Index Issue.

SPEC-COM Communications & Publishing Group
P.O. Box H,
Lowden, Iowa
52255



MOVING?

KEEP HAM RADIO COMING...

If possible let us know four to six weeks before you move and we will make sure your HAM RADIO Magazine arrives on schedule. Just remove the mailing label from this magazine and affix below. Then complete your new address (or any other corrections) in the space provided and we'll take care of the rest.

ham
radio
 Magazine

Allow 4-6 weeks for correction.

Greenville, NH 03048

Thanks for helping us to serve you better.

Here's my new address:

Name _____
 Address _____
 City _____ State _____ Zip _____

AFFIX LABEL HERE




fig. 2. Standing wave ratio vs. frequency for a trapped dipole for use in the 14- and 21-MHz bands. The antenna is 24 feet, 7 inches long and has traps placed 14 feet, 5 inches apart, equidistant from the center. The traps use 50-pF capacitors and are self-resonant at 17.4 MHz.

2 METER AMPLIFIERS • ATV CONVERTERS

DISCOVER THE WORLD OF FAST SCAN TELEVISION:



AMATEUR TELEVISION CONVERTERS
 ATV-2 420-450 MHz..... \$ 44.95 Kit
 ATV-3 420-450 MHz GaAs-Fet..... \$ 49.95 Kit
 ATV-4 902-928 MHz GaAs-Fet..... \$ 59.95 Kit

Available in Kit or Assembled/Tested
 Add \$ 2.00 For Shipping and Handling

RF Amplifiers Per Motorola Bulletins

Complete Parts list for:

140 Watt or 300 Watt HF Amplifiers per Motorola Bulletins:
 AN-758, AN-762, EB-27A, EB-63

CAMBION RF CHOKES
 0.15 uh, 0.22 uh, 0.33 uh
 4.7 uh, 10.0 uh..... \$ 1.20

MIXERS
 SBL-1 DBL. Bal. Mixer..... \$ 6.50
 SBL-1X DBL. Bal. Mixer..... \$ 7.95

FERROCUBE DEVICE
 VK200-20/18 RF Choke..... \$ 1.20
 56-590-65-3B Ferrite Bead..... \$ 2.20

POWER SPLITTER/COMBINER
 2-30 MHz, 600 Watts (2 Port or 4 Port)



BROADBAND TRANSFORMERS PER MOTOROLA BULLETINS

We Also Stock Hard-To-Find Parts:
 KEMET CHIP CAPACITORS
 METALCLAD MICA CAPACITORS
 SEMICONDUCTORS
 RF POWER TRANSISTORS

For detailed information, please call or write for our free catalog



CCI Communication Concepts Inc.
 121 Brown Street • Dayton, Ohio 45402 • (513) 220-9677



turns of No. 18 plastic-coated wire on 1-inch plastic pill bottles. The wire spacing was varied to adjust the resonant frequencies of the traps. During coil construction, the half turn was left slack for fine tuning. The average measured resonant frequency was 17.41 MHz. The wire used in the antenna is No. 12 gauge.

Appendix 2 provides a detailed discussion of calculations which suggested the initial dimensions $S1 = 7.69$ feet and $S2 = 5.41$ feet. After I trimmed them experimentally to $S1 = 7.36$ feet and $S2 = 5.24$ feet, I obtained the standing wave pattern shown in **fig. 2**.

As the length of a loaded dipole, measured in wavelengths, increases, the bandwidth increases. Although a wider bandwidth is expected at $f2$ than at $f1$, I can't explain why bandwidths I've observed at $f2$ are very much wider than those at $f1$. These different bandwidths showed considerable sensitivity to changes in length while trimming; in each of the three cases I've dealt with, the standing wave pattern at $f2$ has changed hardly at all when I changed the dimensions, but a change of only a few inches made a significant change in the $f1$ resonance frequency. It's likely that the $f1$ resonance is very susceptible to changes in antenna location.

theory of the symmetrical design

The selection of a trap antenna's dimensions can be made almost entirely by experiment. The only mathematics one needs to build a two-band antenna using the symmetrical design is to calculate $f0$ by taking the square root of the product of $f1$ and $f2$. After installing the traps and adjusting the antenna length and trap location, a working antenna is produced. The mathematical details can usually save the designer some time by providing at least a "starting point."

In designing a trap antenna, the following conditions must be satisfied: it must resonate at $f1$; it must resonate at $f2$; the trap resonant frequency must be the geometric average of $f1$ and $f2$. The trap resistances at $f1$ and $f2$ are equal, and the reactances are equal in magnitude but opposite in sign. Arbitrarily, I chose 50 pF as a convenient value for the trap capacitances. (The effect of using other values is also considered.) The required value of the trap inductances, L , can then be determined by **eqn. 7**.

Most of the necessary formulas are listed in **appendix 1**, with detailed examples provided in **appendix 2**. The condition for resonance is that the total reactance as referred to any point on the antenna is zero. It is axiomatic that if the total reactance at *any* one point is zero, then it is zero at all other points. For convenience, I chose the trap location as a reference point and considered only half the dipole at a time.

The antenna and the ground can be thought of as forming a transmission line. Although the center is connected to the feedline, I assume that its input im-

pedance, as viewed at the location of the trap, is the same (positive) reactance, $X1$, as it would be if the center were connected to ground. Calculate this with **eqn. 15**. Similarly, I consider the part between the trap and the end as a section of open-circuited transmission. Its impedance is given by the (negative) reactance, $X2$, in **eqn. 17**.

If $S1$, the distance from the center to the trap, and if $S2$, the distance from the trap to the open end, are a quarter wavelength long, then $X1 + X2 = 0$, independent of the value of $S1$. This configuration is well known as a resonator.

For resonance using arbitrary lengths of $S1$ and $S2$, it's necessary to introduce a reactance X such that:

$$X + X1 + X2 = 0 \quad (1)$$

Various values of $S1$ and $S2$ are tried until the equation is satisfied at both $f1$ and $f2$. (See **appendix 2**.)

As a simplification, a characteristic impedance of 575 ohms was calculated for a transmission line consisting of a horizontal 12-gauge wire parallel to and 20 feet above a perfectly conducting groundplane (**eqn. 14**). Though good for a transmission line, this formula neglects the effect of radiation, and, of course, radiation is the primary purpose of the antenna.

The calculation of characteristic impedances of radiating antennas is more complicated.⁷

parallel RLC circuit properties

A complete understanding of the design of trap antennas requires a knowledge of parallel RLC circuits. Graphs of impedance, series resistance, and series reactance are shown as a function of frequency f in **fig. 3**. These normalized curves require that quantities plotted on the vertical scale be multiplied by the value of R , and the frequency shift measured from the resonant frequency be given in units of D , where

$$D = f0/2Q \quad (2)$$

Losses in the inductor and capacitor are represented by a resistance, R , where:

$$R = \frac{500,000Q}{\pi C f0} \text{ ohms} \quad (3)$$

and C is the capacitance in picofarads, and $f0$ is the resonant frequency in MHz.

To apply these ideas to the traps in the 18.1/24.9-MHz antenna, $f0 = 21.257$ MHz, $C = 51.6$ pF, $R = 29,002$ ohms, and $D = 0.053$ MHz (53 kHz). The reactance has small positive values at low frequencies and increases to a maximum of $0.5 R = 14,501$ ohms at a normalized value of -1 (21.204 MHz), after which it decreases to zero at resonance. Then it decreases to a negative maximum $-0.5 R = -14,001$ ohms, at $+1$ (21.310 MHz) and approaches zero at very large frequencies.




fig. 3. Normalized impedance, resistance, and reactance of a parallel RLC circuit as a function of frequency. The frequency is given in terms of the shift from the resonant frequency f_0 in units of $\frac{f_0}{(2Q)}$. When these curves are applied to an actual circuit the quantities plotted vertically are multiplied by the shunt impedance of the circuit at resonance which is $\frac{1}{2\pi f_0 C}$.

The design frequencies, f_1 and f_2 , correspond respectively to -70.6 and $+58.4$, and lie very far off the graph in **fig. 3**. The values of the impedances, resistances, and reactances cannot be obtained from the graph and must be calculated by formulas such as those shown in **appendix 1**. The reactances, as stated previously, are ± 449 ohms and the resistances are 7.0 ohms. Consequently, the circuit can be considered as a low-loss reactance.

Since the reactance goes through zero at resonance, there are two other frequencies — very close (about 10 kHz) — at which the desired reactance of ± 449 ohms can be obtained. There the resistance is very close to its maximum value. Such a reactance would hardly be considered low-loss! This is the situation encountered when such a circuit is used as a trap at f_2 in the classical design. In such a situation the RLC circuit becomes a “trap” in another sense of the word: as an absorber of power.

The curves shown in **fig. 3** assume that Q is at least 10 and preferably much larger, as is likely to be the case in practice. The errors resulting from this assumption are then negligible. This assumption simplifies the math. When Q is small, it is necessary to draw individual curves. **Equations 8, 9, 10, and 11** don't have this limitation.

Another assumption is that the losses in the circuit are represented by a resistor, R , whose value is given by **eqn. 3**, and that its value is independent of frequency. Strictly speaking, this assumption is unrealistic. Most of the losses are in the inductor, and they depend upon frequency in a complicated way because of skin effect and distributed capacitance.

For physical reasons, air (dielectric) capacitors often aren't practical to use in antenna traps — but they are low-loss. The losses in solid dielectric capacitors are generally lower than those in the *inductors*, but they (the losses) mustn't be overlooked. While an air-core inductor has the shape to dissipate heat, a solid-state capacitor does not; a small amount of power dissipated in it causes its temperature to rise and its capacitance to change. If the effect is large, the antenna is detuned when the power level is raised. Thus, a solid-state capacitor has a current limitation as well as a voltage limitation. Usually one that has a high voltage rating can also pass a lot of current, but this isn't always true. Capacitors with thin pigtailed, even with high voltage ratings, must be avoided. Sometimes it's necessary to use more than one capacitor in series or parallel to get sufficient current carrying capability.

conclusion

The main purpose of this article is to review the principles of design of trapped antennas and to show that there are alternative designs that are superior to the classic one. I've illustrated the principles by showing

the design of practical antennas for the 18.1- and 24.9-MHz bands, and for the 14- and 21-MHz bands.

A central point of the discussion is that the operating and trap frequencies should not coincide. **Equation 12** shows that with far-off resonance the series resistance decreases with the square of the difference between the operating and trap resonant frequencies. A further argument for keeping the trap resonant frequency remote from the operating frequency is the fact that the same RLC circuits used in the 18.1/24.9-MHz antenna could be used as traps in a 14/21-MHz dual-band antenna of classic design. The total trap resistance at the new f_2 (21 MHz) is about 58,000 ohms. In considering such an antenna, Moxon⁶ estimates that the radiation resistance, when transformed to the trap location, is about 32,000 ohms. Therefore, the efficiency is about $(32/60) = 53$ percent, whereas the efficiency with the symmetrical design at $f_2 = 24.94$ MHz is 96 percent. Furthermore, with the symmetrical design there is significant current in the outer portions, and the directivity is greater. Therefore, at the upper frequency, f_2 , there is a significant advantage of the symmetrical over the classic design. With the classic design, the lower frequency, f_1 , is further from resonance than with the symmetrical design, and therefore the loss resistance is lower and the efficiency is higher. With the symmetrical design, it is already very good — 87 percent — so this advantage is not important.

I haven't tried to optimize the value of the trap capacitances. **Equation 13** shows that the resistances of the traps' far-off resonance are inversely proportional to the product of the capacitance and the Q of the traps. With the present values of 50 pF and a Q of 200, they're about the largest acceptable. If the capacitances were lowered to 25 pF, the resistances would be double and would cause a significant reduction in efficiency at both operating frequencies. If they were made 100 pF, there would be an insignificant improvement in the efficiency.

The principle of symmetrical design should be applicable to a 14/21/28-MHz triband dipole. The traps should be resonant in the vicinity of 17 MHz and 24 MHz, respectively. Efficiency and directivity would be greater. However, there would be a problem in extending the design to many bands; the radiation resistance would be different on the lowest and highest frequency bands, and an elaborate impedance matching network would be required to get a good match to the transmission line for all bands.

This article has dealt only with a single dipole. This approach can be applied to multi-element antennas with both driven and parasitic elements.

acknowledgments

I wish to thank Les Moxon, G6XN, Francis M.